

# REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 18 November 2002  
 Name of applicant/court: The District Court at Lower Hutt  
 Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable  
 Title of Publication: Untitled aka Anal Orgasms. Fisting. Squirting. Pissing. Amature.  
 Other Known Title(s): Anal Orgasms, fisting, squirts, pissing amature  
 Director(s): Not Stated  
 Producer(s): Not Stated  
 Publisher: Not Applicable  
 Author(s): Not Applicable  
 Format: Video Recording VHS/PAL  
 Country of Origin: Not Stated  
 Language(s): English, German

.....  
**Components of film originally examined:**

Feature:	Untitled aka Anal Orgasms. Fisting. Squirting. Pissing. Amature.	Running time:	157.17
Trailers:	Nil	Running time:	
		Total Running time:	157.17

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

**SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:**

The untitled video recording also known as *Anal Orgasms. Fisting. Squirting. Pissing. Amature.* (also known as *Anal Orgasms, fisting, squirts, pissing amature*) is classified as:  
Objectionable.

The video recording comprises a feature entitled *Bizarre X Handfick Anal Orgasms*, part of a scene entitled *Slave For Fuck*, blank screen, and four untitled scenes that appear to be home videos of a sexually explicit nature. The title by which the publication is known is hand-written on the publication itself.

The publication has been considered under s3(2)(d) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993. The feature *Bizarre X Handfick Anal Orgasms* that is contained on the video recording presents a variety of sexual activities with a focus on the female participants urinating in a sexual manner while engaging in these activities. The content of the video recording is intended for the purposes of sexual arousal and titillation and therefore promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, but this is an outcome that is consistent with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) are deemed to be objectionable.

OFLC Ref: 201584